

EXHIBIT 219

REDACTED

What are the guiding principles and approaches for our publisher strategy, given the ecosystem changes?

Contributors: [REDACTED] chrisl, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] jbellack, [REDACTED]

1. Executive Summary

In this paper we try to answer two fundamental questions that have come up in several recent discussions and will be guiding principles for many of our future decisions.

1. What is the primary objective of the Sellside Business?
2. Directionally are we trying to be a network or a platform on the sellside (or both) and what is our position on openness? (NOTE: There is a related question of whether the buy-side should be open or closed given that profitability currently comes when our buy-side buys from our sellside platforms)?

We believe that agreeing on clear principles that answer these questions will allow us to pivot our strategies and answer several follow up questions/decisions. At a very high level, our guiding principles to these questions are:

- **What is the primary objective of the Sellside Business?**
The primary purpose of the Sellside business currently is to *provide “at least fair” (favorable if mutually beneficial to the partner and Google) access to quality inventory for Google demand in an efficient and profitable way.* We assume that Google will continue to have strong and differentiated demand (signals, targeting, formats, etc).
- **Directionally should we try to be a network (where others decide which ad serves), or a platform (where we decide which ad serves)?**
We want to gain access to inventory in as favorable (reach, margins, exclusivity, data, fairness etc.) terms as possible, as long as we are delivering clear value to our partners. Given that, *we prefer to operate as a platform* (so we get to be in the decision chain and ensure fairness), but *we need to operate as a network as well* because there will always be some quality inventory that we cannot get onto our platforms.
- **When we are a platform, do we want to be more open with similar access to all demand, or do we want to be closed with more favorable terms for our own demand?**
When we do offer a platform, our goal should be to *get as favorable as possible access for our demand without losing access to desired inventory at fair terms.* If we start to lose platform access to desired quality inventory at fair terms, we should open ourselves up as minimally as possible to access the inventory. *It is better to have inventory on our platform, even without favorable access, than to be just a network buying into another platform.*

We want to reiterate that this is a starting position and the purpose of this document is to start with a position and facilitate further conversations on whether these are the right guidelines and what their implications are. Also, due to the nature of the topic, most of the material in this document focuses on formulating these principles as opposed to making decisions based on them.

2. Context

Since there is some disagreement on what network, open, and closed mean on the SellSide, we attempt to give some definitions for the purposes of further discussion in the paper:

- **Platform:** An entity that makes the final ad decision across different demand sources.
- **Network:** An entity that delivers demand to a platform which makes the final ad decision.

- **Open features:** These are platform features where all demand is competing on equal terms.
- **Closed features:** These are platform features where our demand is competing on favorable terms with third party demand.

- Google Ad Manager

- AdSense for Content

- AdMob

- Google Ad Connector (Yavin)

Product	Impressions/Day	Revenue (ARR)	YoY growth	Margin	#Pubs
GAM					
AdX-direct					

AdSense (AFC)	
AdMob	
Yavin	

It is also worth calling out some of the key changes over the past few years that has sparked some re-thinking in our strategy:

- Big shift in traffic and business towards apps (in Q4 2017, App inventory was up [REDACTED] while web inventory is up [REDACTED])
- Header bidding has given other Networks and SSPs per-query pricing access to Ad Manager inventory, increasing competition and delivering publishers [REDACTED] lift in programmatic revenues. Our response, Exchange Bidding, is growing rapidly and represents [REDACTED] of AdX Publisher gross revenue growth in Q2
- Facebook Ad Network has continued to grow even without a platform offering, and is estimated to be [REDACTED] in 2018
- Amazon has started to make serious inroads into a full supply-demand offering with their Transparent Ad Marketplace (Exchange Bidding competitor with much lower pricing)
- UAC/App Promo has grown to a [REDACTED] business and [REDACTED] of that is on the network
- Lots of movement in the format space (Natives, Rewarded, AR/VR Ads etc.), many of which emerged outside Google with other players driving initial innovation and market share
- Increased regulatory scrutiny (GDPR, California Consumer Privacy Act, ePrivacy ...)
- Anonymous targeting identifiers as currency (especially 3p cookies) has come under fire both from regulators and Apple
- Ad Tech has continued to consolidate toward fewer, larger buyers, with [REDACTED] many smaller acquisitions, and share consolidation among the remaining independent players.

3. SWOT Analysis

<p>Strengths</p> <p>Existing reach, installed base & platform stickiness Large identity footprint on Android Android and Chrome platform advantage Google resources (eng, technology, sales, partnership) Other Google properties (e.g. Play, Cloud, Firebase, Search) Scale of systems Unique demand, global demand High fill rate (liquidity) Market data/insights (RTB, new exchange/open bidding data)</p>	<p>Weaknesses</p> <p>Often play fast follow vs first movers Low iOS coverage (both inventory and identity) Lower CPMs in certain slices compared to the market Relatively small presence in NBU, especially domestic China Smaller identity footprint than FB outside of Android Intertwined pricing (DBM profitability depends on high sellside margin) Fragmented sellside tech stack - inconsistencies, duplicated work Feature-rich products can be confusing, hard to optimize No focused solution for commerce vertical</p>
<p>Opportunities</p> <p>Additional inventory in Web & Apps (Open Bidding, Yavin ...) New inventory on other surfaces (DOOH, Audio, AR/VR, ...) Video inventory and demand New demand -- budgets moving from TV, DBM on apps GKS/Nera Going beyond ads monetization (subscriptions, tipping, etc) A lot more with Analytics Lot of opportunities to improve our pricing and margins Strong enterprise platform features that are relatively undervalued Exposing to publishers that we are actually a larger % of their demand than previously understood (DBM & AwBid via 3PE)</p>	<p>Threats</p> <p>Increasing privacy and regulatory scrutiny Cookies & anonymous app IDs are disappearing Apple moving more towards closed ecosystem and ad-less (or their ads only) experience Increased time spent in walled gardens No sellside momentum in future forms of content consumption Other demand whales (FB, Amazon, etc) come together to drive alternate platforms at our expense Unity and other platforms having an early involvement in the developer experience Trend and expectation toward 'free' platforms in apps</p>